

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/726,423	SHIBATA, KOICHI	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Taghi T. Arani	2131	

**All Participants:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Taghi T. Arani. (3) \_\_\_\_\_. \_\_\_\_\_

(2) James A. LaBarre. (4) \_\_\_\_\_. \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 1 August 2006 **Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

15

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: 101 issue regarding the phrase "A computer program product" in the preamble of claim 15 was raised. the Applicant's representative agreed to amend the claim by an Examiner's Amendment for a tangible embodiment of the recited computer program product.